Capital 3 year MTFP Bids +£1m

| Directorate | Lead Member Name | Key Areas | Key Areas - by Sub Category | Project | Capital Scheme | Budget Manager | 2021/22 £ | 2022/23 £ | 2023/24 £ | Comment about Bid | Is Bid required? |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|--|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|----------------------------|
| Place & Growth | Pauline Jorgensen | Climate Emergency | Co2 reduction | P1873 | Managing Congestion | Martin Heath | 5,000,000 | 5,000,000 | 7,000,000 | number of projects. Request new projects | Yes - for new projects |
| Place & Growth | Parry Batth | Environment | New facilities | P1713 | Sports provsion to serve North & South Wokingham SDLs | Francesca Hobson | 1,750,000 | 4,080,000 | 0 | number of projects. Request new projects | Yes - for new projects |
| Place & Growth | John Kaiser | Investment and Regeneration | Housing delivery | P1815 | Gorse Ride Regeneration (Phase 2 & 3) | Rhian Hayes | 5,000,000 | 6,000,000 | 1,561,875 | not sure request for main project made? | Yes |
| Place & Growth | Parry Batth | Investment and Regeneration | New facilities | P1850 | Feasibility Case for Developing New Crematorium - General | Rhian Hayes | 3,300,000 | 2,700,000 | 0 | feasibility budget only in 20/21. Request main project | Yes |
| Place & Growth | Pauline Jorgensen | Roads and Transport | Improvement to existing facilities | P1618 | Highways Carriageways Structural Maintenance | Aivaras Jasiunas | 2,280,000 | 2,280,000 | 2,280,000 | Rolling programme | Yes - as rolling programme |
| Place & Growth | Pauline Jorgensen | Roads and Transport | New roads | P1761 | California Crossroads | Malcolm Pinto | 4,446,500 | 0 | 350,000 | 20/21 £1.2m feasibility bud. Request main project | Yes |
| Place & Growth | Pauline Jorgensen | Roads and Transport | New roads | P1820 | SCAPE - Road infrastructure (dist roads etc) intial costs | lan Haller | 71,287,000 | 35,000,000 | 2,200,000 | On going scheme. Includes a number of large projects. Request new projects | Yes - for new projects |
| Place & Growth | Pauline Jorgensen | Roads and Transport | Service improvements | P1841 | Wokingham Highways Investment Strategy (WHIS) - General | Aivaras Jasiunas | 2,968,183 | 2,300,000 | 3,419,337 | On going scheme. May includea number of large projects | Yes - for new projects |
| | | | | | | Total | 96,031,683 | 57,360,000 | 16,811,212 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Operational Property should be consulted prior to submission of this bid so that assistance and oversight can be provided to Commissioning Services in ensuring that capital budgets sought are realistic and inclusive of foreseeable known costs.

| Details | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|-----|-------------------|---|
| Service Area | Place & Growth | | Budget Manager | Martin Heath |
| Service Department | Highways & Transport | | Lead Member | Pauline Jorgensen Highways and Transport |
| | | | Rolling Programme | No |
| Project Title | | Mar | naging Congestion | |

| Project Description | |
|--|---|
| This project aims to create improveme | nt to traffic flow throughou |
| Succession of the state of the state of the Physical State of the st | Character and the state to conduct a second |

This project aims to create improvement to traffic flow throughout the borough with a number of approaches based around the principles of: Prevent - reducing the likelihood of incidents on the network causing problems for congestion including use of CCTV for parking enforcement (e.g. on school keep clear markings)

Monitor - Real-time data collection ot keep us up to date with the current state of traffic on the roads to include CCTV in key areas, ANPR on key routes to establish journey times and sensors in car parks and on street bays to provide real-time parking availability information to specific user groups (e.g. disabled users)

Inform - providing this information to road users on variable messaging signs and via user based apps/devices so that they can make informed choices before and during their journeys

Control - the ability to change traffic signal timings remotely will allow us to give priority to key routes and corridors to keep the majority of traffic moving; ths would include a cloud-hosted traffic control solution to replace existing UTC in a 3 to 4 year phased programme to introduce real-time operations and adaptive control algorithms for the WBC network.

Vision Priorities

| Please select the council priorities that this bid will achieve | |
|---|-----|
| Economic prosperity Please comple the section below with how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) | |
| By keeping traffic moving and minimising delays, people, goods and services will be moving more efficiently around the borough. | Yes |
| <u>Community safety</u> Please comple the section below with how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) | |
| | No |
| <u>Sustainable towns and parishes</u> Please comple the section below with how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) | |
| The project will reduce the number of motor vehicles idling in queues around all of our towns and key intersections asisting with Carbon and Air Quality | Yes |
| Affordable housing Please comple the section below with how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) | |
| | No |
| Tackling congestion Please comple the section below with how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) | |
| This is the key aim of the project. | Yes |
| Impact of development Please comple the section below with how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) | |
| Part of dealing with the impact of development is to manage the additional traffic that it will generate as well as managing demand to reduce the number of new vehicles joining the network. | Yes |
| Clean green and enjoyable spaces Please comple the section below with how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) | |
| | No |

64

| <u>Promoting quality of life for vulnerable adults</u> Please comple the section below with how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) | | | |
|---|---|----|--|
| | Π | | |
| | | No | |
| | | | |
| Ensuring opportunity for all children Please comple the section below with how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) | | | |
| | Π | | |
| | | No | |
| - | | | |
| Ensuring physical and mental wellbeing Please comple the section below with how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) | | | |
| | Π | | |
| | | No | |
| | | | |

Positive Implications

| Justification | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------|
| In reducing congestion there will be sho existing data collected by DfT to determ | | se of the existing highway network. This can be | measured using |
| Risk Information | | | |
| Please identify the risks associated with | n this bid not proceeding | 1 | |
| If this hid does not proceed there is unli | ikely to be any change to the current lay | • | |

If this bid does not proceed there is unlikely to be any change to the current level of congestion experienced by our residents. The new developments in the borough do have some mitigation measures, however their aim is to not make conditions worse whilst this project seeks to shift away from the current situation to create a smoother journeys and keep traffic moving.

Additional Details

| Project Managed By | WBC Service | Feasibility Completed | No | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|------------------------------|-------|
| Site Identified | Yes | Site Available | Yes | |
| Planning Agreed | No | | | |
| Additional Information | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Planning not required except f sensitive locations and so ma: | | h will be established on a site by site basis | ; signs can be situated to a | avoid |
| | ximise likelihood of approval. | h will be established on a site by site basis | ; signs can be situated to a | avoid |
| sensitive locations and so ma | ximise likelihood of approval. | h will be established on a site by site basis | ; signs can be situated to a | avoid |

Operational Property should be consulted prior to submission of the bid so that assistance and oversight can be provided to Commissioning Services in ensuring that capital budgets sought are realistic and inclusive of foreseeable known costs.

| Details | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Service Area | Place & Growth | | | | | | | Budget Manager Martin Heath | | | | |
| Service Department | ervice Department Highways & Transport | | | | | | Lead Member Pauline Jorgensen Hin Transport | | | gensen Highways | and | |
| Rolling Programme | No | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Title | | | Mana | aging Cong | gestion | | | | | | | |
| Budget Requested | 170 | 00000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Budget Phasing | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Capital Scheme | Year 1 | Year 2 2021/22 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 2024/25 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 8 | Year 9 | Year 10 | Total of | 1 |
| Managing Congestion | 2020/21 | 5000000 | 2022/23 5000000 | 2023/24 7000000 | 2024/25 | 2025/26 | 2026/27 | 2027/28 | 2028/29 | 2029/30 | scheme 17000000 | - |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| RIBA Stage | | | | | | | | - | | | | |
| Stage | Year 1 2020/21 | Year 2 2021/22 | Year 3 2022/23 | Year 4 2023/24 | Year 5 2024/25 | Year 6 2025/26 | Year 7 2026/27 | Year 8 2027/28 | Year 9 2028/29 | Year 10 2029/30 | Total of scheme | % of Total Cost |
| RIBA stage 0 | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.00% |
| RIBA stage 1 | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.00% |
| RIBA stage 2 | | 500000 | 500000 | 700000 | | | | | | | 1700000 | 10.00% |
| RIBA stage 3 RIBA stage 4 | | 4490000 10000 | 4490000 10000 | 6290000 10000 | | | | | | | 15270000 30000 | 89.82% 0.18% |
| RIBA stage 5 | | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 | | | | | | | 0 | 0.10% |
| Comments | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Revenue Implications | | | | | | | | | | | | - |
| Costs | 50 | 0000 | I | | | | | | | | | |
| F | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 8 | Year 9 | Year 10 | Total of | T |

| | | | Teal S | Teal 4 | Teal 5 | rear o | Teal 1 | Teal o | rear 9 | Teal IU | TOTAL OF |
|--|------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|----------|-------------------------|
| Capital Scheme | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | 2022/23 | 2023/24 | 2024/25 | 2025/26 | 2026/27 | 2027/28 | 2028/29 | 2029/30 | scheme |
| 0 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 500000 |
| | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Details of Cost | Ĩ | | | | | | | | | | |
| There will be some software a staffing levels. | and ongoir | ng commi | unications | and main | tenance c | osts. It is | anticipate | ed that the | e work can | complete | d using existing |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Savings | | 0 | l | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Year 1 | 0 Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 8 | Year 9 | Year 10 | Total of |
| Savings Capital Scheme | | Year 2 | | Year 4 2023/24 | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Year 1 | - | Year 3 2022/23 | | | | | | | | Total of scheme 0 |
| Capital Scheme | Year 1 | Year 2 | | | | | | | | | scheme |

Funding Identified

แก่เธอ ลกน อกน นออก บบอก อลงกายอ.

Are there external funding streams identified to contribute towards or fully fund this bid? (if yes please add the details to the table below)

| Funding Detail | Ι | | | |
|----------------|----------------|---------|------------------|--|
| Funding source | Amount (£'000) | Funding | Funding received | Comments |
| | | | | There are potential funding pots such as innovation funds and LEP/BRRP monies which may become available throughout the life of the project |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Details | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Service Area | Place & Growth | Budget Manager | Francesca Hobson |
| Service Department | Delivery and Infrastructure | Director | Chris Traill |
| | | Lead Member : | Parry Batth, Environment and Leisure |
| Project Title | Sports p | provsion to serve North & South Wokinghar | n SDLs |
| Project Description | I | Rolling Programme | |
| Development Locations a Farm. The delivery of the | and the associated requirements | ub to enable delivery of the North and South for playing pitches, which are to be met at t of 2026, prior to completion of the housing d 2021. | his offsite facility at Grays |

| Instruction | | |
|---|-----|--|
| Please Select the council priorities that this bid will achieve | | |
| Vision Priorities | | |
| Improve educational attainment and focus on every child achieving their potential | No | |
| Invest in regenerating towns and villages, support social and economic prosperity, whilst encouraging business growth | Yes | |
| Ensure strong sustainable communities that are vibrant and supported by well designed development | Yes | |
| Tackle traffic congestion in specific areas of the Borough | No | |
| Improve the customer experience when accessing Council services | No | |

Positive Implications

Justification

The following benefits are realised through this rolling programme

Improved performance indicators.

□ Reduction in insurance claims (caused by trip hazards, potholes etc).

□ Visual improvements to the street scene – enhanced living conditions.

□ Arrest further degradation of road/footway surface and substructure.

□ Reduction in the demands for remedial work (patching).

Potential for improvements in road safety due to reduced tripping hazards for pedestrians/cyclists.

| Budget Requested | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------|--------|-------------------|----|
| Budget Requested (£'000) | £5,830 |] | | | |
| Budget Phasing | | | | | |
| Year 1 (£'000) | £1,750 | Year 2 (£'000) | £4,080 | Year 3 (£'000) | £0 |
| Year 4 (£'000) | £0 | Year 5 (£'000) | £0 | Year 6-10 (£'000) | £0 |
| Comments |] | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Revenue Implications | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----|-----------------------|----|-----------------------|----|
| Cost Year 1 (£'000) | £0 | Cost Year 2 (£'000) | £0 | Cost Year 3 (£'000) | £0 |
| Details of Cost | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Saving Year 1 (£'000) | £0 | Saving Year 2 (£'000) | £0 | Saving Year 3 (£'000) | £0 |
| Details of Savings | | - | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Funding Identified | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Are there external funding stream | ams identified to contribut | te towards or fully fu | nd this bid? (if yes please a | dd the details to the table below) |
| Funding Detail | | | | |
| Funding source | Amount (£'000) | Funding confirmed | Funding received | Notes |
| | | | | |

Risk Information

Please identify the risks associated with this bid not proceeding

The risks of not undertaking the programme include:

• Serious difficulty in continuing to meet statutory/legal requirements to maintain a safe highway due to gradual degradation of general highway condition through reduced or deferred investment,

Increased risk of incidents resulting from footway defects

• Increased frequency and severity of highway defects occurring leading to increased exposure to Third Party claims and reduced ability to secure a defence under Section 58 of the Highways Act

· Consequential increase in insurance premiums and insurance excess amounts, and potential for insurance companies to withdraw or refuse insurance

Continued depreciation of the council's asset.

· Consequential loss of highway capacity, loss of highway network links, increased congestion and adverse media attention

Need for more frequent inspections and increased Reactive Maintenance as highway assets deteriorate more rapidly

Public/businesses dissatisfaction and reduced perception of a quality service and the council's apparent performance, to the detriment of the whole community.

• Reduction in perceived living conditions in the Borough with potential further impact on the housing market.

| Additional Information | | | |
|------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----|
| Project Managed By | WBC Service | Feasibility Completed | Yes |
| Site Identified Yes |] | Site Available | Yes |
| Planning Agreed |] | | |
| Further Information |] | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Operational Property should be consulted prior to submission of this bid so that assistance and oversight can be provided to Commissioning Services in ensuring that capital budgets sought are realistic and inclusive of foreseeable known costs.

| Details | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| Directorate * | Place & Growth | Budget Manage | er * Rhian Hayes | |
| | | _ | | |
| Service Department * | Housing & Place Commissioning | Lead Member * | * John Kaiser, Fir | nance and Housing |
| | | Rolling Program | nme * No | |
| Project Title * | | Gorse Ride Regeneration | Phase 2 | |
| Project Description * | 7 | | | |
| spaces. Gorse Ride was first built in the 19 poor insulation and high running co for the community to enjoy. Phase 1 (Arnett Avenue) is due for being affordable and all designed t | cing the existing houses with high quality 70s with buildimngs only expected to last osts for residents living there. There are w completion in April 2021 with Phase 2 fol o be more eco-friendly. consultation with existuing residents and | around 20 years. As a result rider problems with general p llowing on with the developm | the existing houses are boor drainage and lack of ent of 249 new homes v | in poorcondition with f open public spaces vith the vast majority |
| RAG Status (Certainty around financi | al request and project delivery) | | | |
| Green | High certainty | y on figures and project delivery | / | |

| Green | High certainty on figures and project delivery |
|-------|--|
| Amber | Some certainty on figures and project delivery |
| Red | Low certainty on figures and project delivery |
| | |

Select "RAG Status" * Green

| Comments regarding RAG Status Funding projections based on latest cost plans produced by project consultants - to be | am |
|--|----|
|--|----|

Please select the MTFP category that this bid will achieve *

| Adult Social Care Please comple the section below with | how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) |
|---|---|
| MTFP sub category | The new homes will meet a range of housing needs including a number of bungalows and ground floor flats (to be adapted where needed) for those more elderly and/or vulnerable residents |

| Children Services and Schools Please comple the section below with h | how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) |
|---|--|
| MTFP sub category | |

| Climate Emergency | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Please comple the section below with how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) | | | |
| MTFP sub category | All new housing will be developed to be as close to being energy 'passive' homes as possible. Gas will be removed from the estate and new electric car charging points will be provided. | | |

| Environment Please comple the section below with | how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) |
|---|---|
| MTFP sub category | A great deal of focus during design has been on creating a high quality external living environment for the new community |
| | |

| Internal Services Please comple the section below with how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) | | |
|--|----|--|
| MTFP sub category | 70 | |

| Investment and regeneration | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Please comple the section below with | how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) |
| MTFP sub category | The project will result in the community led regeneration of Gorse Ride |
| | |
| Roads & Transport | |
| Please comple the section below with | how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) |
| | Infrastructure for 200 electric car charging points included with scope to add more in future. Integrated |
| MTFP sub category | secure bike storage in apartment blocks and storage space in houses to help encourage green travel |
| | and reduce reliance on cars |

Positive Implications

| Justification * |
|--|
| The project will result in better quality housing , improved sustainability, high quality public realm and external environment based on a scheme designed in full consultation with residents and local community representatives |

Risk Information

| Please identify the risks associated with this bid not proceeding * |
|---|
| The overall project and funding has previously been agreed through Executive and throughout its planning and design has continued to receive encouragement and support from Coucnillors and senior officers. If the regeneration was not to proceed then many families would continue to live in poor quality accommodation and the cocts to maintain and run those properties would continue to grow. |

Additional Details *

| Project Managed By | | Feasibility Completed | Yes | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----|--|
| Site Identified | Yes | Site Available | Yes | |
| Planning Agreed | Yes | | | |
| Additional Information | 1 | | | |
| | | | | |
| There is a full project governance stru | cture for the Gorse Ride regeneration | | | |
| ····· | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Links to other useful documents |] | | | |
| link | Comments | | | |
| 1 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |

Operational Property should be consulted prior to submission of the bid so that assistance and oversight can be provided to Commissioning Services in ensuring that capital budgets sought are realistic and inclusive of foreseeable known costs.

| Details | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| #REF! | | Place & Gro | owth | | | | | Budget N | lanager | | #REF! | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | |
| Service Department | | #REF! | | | | | | Lead Me | mber | John Kaise | r, | |
| Rolling Programme | #REF! |] | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Title | | | | #REF! | | | |] | | | | |
| Budget Requested in £'0 | 000 | | 12 | 562 | | | | _ | | | | |
| | ERROR | ERROR | ERROR | | | | | | | | ERROR | |
| Budget Phasing * | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 8 | Year 9 | Year 10 | | r |
| Capital Scheme | 2021/22 | 2022/23 | 2023/24 | 2024/25 | 2025/26 | 2026/27 | 2027/28 | 2028/29 | 2029/30 | 2030/31 | Total of scheme | |
| #REF! | 5000 | 6000 | 1562 | | | | | | | | 12562 | |
| Capital Stage * | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stage | Year 1 2021/22 | Year 2 2022/23 | Year 3 2023/24 | Year 4 2024/25 | Year 5 2025/26 | Year 6 2026/27 | Year 7 2027/28 | Year 8 2028/29 | Year 9 2029/30 | Year 10 2030/31 | Total of scheme | % of Total Cost |
| Feasibility | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.00% |
| Design | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.00% |
| Contruction Fit out | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.00% |
| The out | | | | | | | | | | | Ŭ | 0.0070 |
| Comments | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Funding Identified * | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No funding identified | Answe | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |

Are there external funding streams identified to contribute towards or fully fund this bid? (if yes please add the details to the table below)

| Funding Detail | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---|
| Please ensure you compl | ete this section wit | th as much inforn | nation as is possi | ble |
| Funding source | Amount (£'000) | Funding confirmed | Funding received | Comments - for example - Quote relevant contribution agreement |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Details | P1850 | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Service Area | Place & Growth | Budget Manager | Rhian Hayes |
| Service Department | | Lead Member | Parry Batth, Environment and Leisure |
| | | Rolling Programme | No |
| Project Title | F | easibility Case for Developing New | v Crematorium |
| Project Description To undertake a feasibility work (2019) | /20) and prepare a planning application (2 | 2019/20) to develop and run a crem | natorium in Wokingham borough. With the aim of |
| optimum service for local residents a The budget of £400,000 will be used • Feasibility Stage 2019/20. This will the concept design, including outline accordance with the design programm the RIBA Plan of Work 2013. • Planning Stage first half of 2021. Du design, building services systems, ou design and project strategies to inclu- accordance with the design programm The construction of the crematorium | nd the best financial return for the council to commission a consultant to carry out th include developing the project objectives proposals for structural design, outline sp me and issue the final project brief. This cou- uring this stage, the consultant will prepare utiline specifications, cost information and de all architectural, structural and building me. This corresponds to phase 3 'Develop will take place from end of 2021/2022 thrc | ne and project outcomes, project buc ecifications and preliminary cost in orresponds to work phase 1 'Prepa e a developed design, including co project strategies in accordance w services information, specialist su bed Design' and phase 4 'Technica bugh to early 2023 in line with the c | |
| Vision Priorities Please select the council priorities the | at this bid will achieve | | |
| · · · · | | | |

| Improve educational attainment and focus on every child achieving their potential 1) Work with partners to be one of the best local authorities in the country for educational attainment 2) Maintain high performance overall 3) Focus on narrowing the gap in the achievement of children from particular groups by delivering targeted support and early intervention | No |
|---|-----|
| Invest in regenerating towns and villages, support social and economic prosperity, whilst encouraging business growth 1) Work with residents, businesses and partners to develop an affordable programme of regeneration in our towns and villages, starting with Wokingham 2) Assist communities, increase trade and provide amenities that people value 3) Focus on supporting business growth | Yes |
| Ensure strong sustainable communities that are vibrant and supported by well designed development 1) Concentrate on planning and delivering services to support appropriate design and development 2) Create thriving communities | Yes |
| Tackle traffic congestion in specific areas 1) Explore different private and public transport options to reduce congestion and improve journeys | Yes |
| Improve the customer experience when accessing services 1) Provide a responsive, flexible and consistent customer service 2) Give residents confidence that Council Tax is being spent wisely 3) Continue to train and develop our staff and working practices to focus on our priorities 4) Build on our good practice resolving your queries at first point of contact and providing residents with modern technology to access our services | Yes |

Positive Implications

Justification

There are currently no crematorium facilities in Wokingham Borough and residents must travel to Reading or Bracknell for this service. In 2017 the council commissioned Cemetery Development Services to assess the need for a new crematorium in the borough. This study considers the likely demand for a crematorium considering trends in death rates, population projections and the location of competing facilities. The balance of evidence supports the contention that a crematorium would be viable as a commercial enterprise as well as providing important community infrastructure for a growing local population.

| Risk | Information | |
|------|-------------|--|

Please identify the risks associated with this bid not proceeding

Needs analysis has identified that there is considerable income potential for the council from developing a crematorium. Particularly, with the amount of growth anticipated for the borough. There is a risk that if the council does not capitalise on this opportunity then it will be lost. Either to private investors within the borough or through adjacent local authorities with existing crematoria expanding their services. Equally, the commercial environment could change at any time during the feasibility and planning stages to make the project unviable. The most likely scenario would be new entrants to the market. To mitigate risk the project should be treated as confidential, proceed at pace and monitor the competitive environment.

Additional Details

| Project Managed By | WBC Service | Feasibility Completed | No | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Site Identified | Yes | Site Available | Yes | | |
| Planning Agreed | No | | | | |
| Additional Information | 7 | | | | |
| prepared. The initial findings were sup change programme. Now the project is being restarted with | torium in the borough was initially reviewe oportive of perusing the project but further h the emphasis on commercialisation and been the difficulty identifying an appropria | r work was postponed during the o | council's restru | ucture during the 21st (| Century Counci |

Links to other useful documents

| | link | Comments |
|---|--|------------------|
| 1 | Z:\crematorium & cryomation\Crem Project F | Needs assessment |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |

| udget Requested | 400 | 0000 | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | | | ERROR | ERROR | | | | | | | ERROR |
| udget Phasing | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Capital Scheme | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 8 | Year 9 | Year 10 | Total of scheme |
| Capital Scheme | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | 2022/23 | 2023/24 | 2024/25 | 2025/26 | 2026/27 | 2027/28 | 2028/29 | Total of Scheme |
| #REF! | | | 3300000 | 2700000 | 0 | | | | | | 6000000 |
| BA Stage | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IBA Stage | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stage | Year 1 2019/20 | Year 2 2020/21 | Year 3 2021/22 | Year 4 2022/23 | Year 5 2023/24 | Year 6 2024/25 | Year 7 2025/26 | Year 8 2026/27 | Year 9 2027/28 | Year 10 2028/29 | |
| Stage RIBA stage 0 | | | | | | | | | | | Total of scheme |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RIBA stage 0 | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| RIBA stage 0 RIBA stage 1 | | | | | | | | | | | 0 0 |
| RIBA stage 0 RIBA stage 1 RIBA stage 2 | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |

comments

Revenue Implications

| Costs | (|) | l | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Capital Scheme | Year 1 2019/20 | Year 2 2020/21 | Year 3 2021/22 | Year 4 2022/23 | Year 5 2023/24 | Year 6 2024/25 | Year 7 2025/26 | Year 8 2026/27 | Year 9 2027/28 | Year 10 2028/29 | Total of scheme |
| #REF! | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Details of Cost | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Soutingo | 5207 | 2062 | | | | | | | | | |
| Savings | 5282 | 2062 | | | | | | | | | |
| Capital Scheme | Year 1 2019/20 | Year 2 2020/21 | Year 3 2021/22 | Year 4 2022/23 | Year 5 2023/24 | Year 6 2024/25 | Year 7 2025/26 | Year 8 2026/27 | Year 9 2027/28 | Year 10 2028/29 | Total of scheme |
| #REF! | £138,770 | £266,050 | £420,310 | £502,618 | £619,600 | £635,163 | £650,857 | £666,684 | £682,642 | £699,368 | 5282062 |
| Details of Savings | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| _ come en e annige | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Above is the potential net inc | 000 0000 | rated by a | porating t | ho cromot | orium U | owovor fo | r the first | oight voor | re it has he | | nod that this will |
| be used to pay off the original | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | generated | | | | 5 | , | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Funding Identified

Are there external funding streams identified to contribute towards or fully fund this bid? (if yes please add the details to the table below)

Funding Detail

| Funding source | Amount (£'000) | Funding | Funding received | Comments |
|----------------|----------------|---------|------------------|----------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Details | | | | |
|---------------------|--|-----------------|---------------------------|--|
| Service Area | Place & Growth |] | Budget Manager | Aivaras Jasiunas |
| Service Department | Highways + Transport |] | Director | |
| | | | Lead Member : | Pauline Jorgensen, Highways and Transport |
| Project Title | | Highways Carria | geway Structural Maintena | ince |
| Project Description | ב | | Rolling Programme | Yes |
| | vays (roads) to repair damag ition, such as increasing skid | | | |

| Instruction | |
|---|-----|
| Please Select the council priorities that this bid will achieve | |
| Vision Priorities | |
| #REF! | Yes |

| Positive Implications | ٦ |
|--|---|
| Justification | |
| The following benefits are realised through this rolling programme | |
| Improved performance indicators. | |
| Reduction in insurance claims (caused by trip hazards, potholes etc). | |
| Visual improvements to the street scene – enhanced living conditions. | |
| Arrest further degradation of road/footway surface and substructure. | |
| Reduction in the demands for remedial work (patching). | |
| Potential for improvements in road safety due to increase Skidding resistance, improved surface condition etc. | |

Potential for improvements in road safety due to increase 99 kidding resistance improved surface condition etc.

Inproved highway condition, drainage, and life of asset.

| Budget Requested | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------|--------|-------------------|--------|
| Budget Requested (£'000) | £6,840 |] | | | |
| Budget Phasing | | | | | |
| Year 1 (£'000) | £2,280 | Year 2 (£'000) | £2,280 | Year 3 (£'000) | £2,280 |
| Year 4 (£'000) | | Year 5 (£'000) | | Year 6-10 (£'000) | |
| Comments |] | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Revenue Implications | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----|-----------------------|----|-----------------------|----|
| Cost Year 1 (£'000) | £0 | Cost Year 2 (£'000) | £0 | Cost Year 3 (£'000) | £0 |
| Details of Cost |] | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Saving Year 1 (£'000) | £0 | Saving Year 2 (£'000) | £0 | Saving Year 3 (£'000) | £0 |
| Details of Savings |] | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Funding Identified | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Are there external funding strea | ims identified to contribut | e towards or fully fu | nd this bid? (if yes please | add the details to the table below) |
| Funding Detail | | | | |
| Funding source | Amount (£'000) | Funding confirmed | Funding received | Notes |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Risk Information

Please identify the risks associated with this bid not proceeding

The risks of not undertaking the programme include:

```
• Serious difficulty in continuing to meet statutory/legal requirements to maintain a safe highway due to gradual degradation of general highway condition through reduced or deferred investment.
```

Increased risk of fatal incidents resulting from highway defects thereby increasing the risk of Corporate Manslaughter

Inability to adequately address risks described in Highways Asset Management Risk Register

· Consequential increase in insurance premiums and insurance excess amounts, and potential for insurance companies to withdraw or refuse insurance

Continued depreciation of the council's asset.

• Potential need to impose speed restrictions, lane closures or road closures in order to safeguard users of a deteriorating highway network

• Strong criticism and possible legal action by Department for Transport (DfT) for lack of action to adequately maintain the highway network, which is the largest and most visible

community asset for which local authorities are responsible (estimated value in WBC of £550 million).

· Consequential loss of highway capacity, loss of highway network links, increased congestion and adverse media attention

Need for more frequent inspections and increased Reactive Maintenance as highway assets deteriorate more rapidly

Possible legal action for failure to ensure expeditious movement of traffic (Statutory duty under TMA)
 Dublic/busineesse disactification and reduced parameters of a guality can use and the council's apparent performance to the del

Public/businesses dissatisfaction and reduced perception of a quality service and the council's apparent performance, to the detriment of the whole community.
 Reduction in perceived living conditions in the Borough with potential further impact on the housing market.

| Additional Information | | | |
|------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----|
| Project Managed By | WBC Service | Feasibility Completed | Yes |
| Site Identified Yes |] | Site Available | Yes |
| Planning Agreed |] | | |
| Further Information |] | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

[•] Increased frequency and severity of highway defects occurring leading to increased exposure to Third Party claims and reduced ability to secure a defence under Section 58 of the Highways Act

Operational Property should be consulted prior to submission of this bid so that assistance and oversight can be provided to Commissioning Services in ensuring that capital budgets sought are realistic and inclusive of foreseeable known costs.

| Details | known costs. | | |
|--|---|-------------------------|---|
| Directorate * | Place & Growth | Budget Manager * | Malcolm Pinto |
| Service Department * | | Lead Member * | Pauline Jorgensen Highways and Transport |
| | | Rolling Programme * | No |
| Project Title * | Ca | alifornia Crossroads | |
| Project Description * | | | |
| | | | |
| BAO Status (Castainty any addition and the | | | |
| RAG Status (Certainty around financial red Green | High certainty on figures | and project delivery | |
| Amber Red | Some certainty on figures Low certainty on figures | | |
| | | and project delivery | |
| Select "RAG Status" * | Green | | |
| Comments regarding RAG Status | | | |
| Please select the MTFP category that | t this bid will achieve * | | |
| Adult Social Care Please comple the section below with | how the project meets this priority (leave blank i | f not) | |
| MTFP sub category | | noose MTFP sub category | |
| Children Services and Schools | | | |
| | how the project meets this priority (leave blank it | f not) | |
| MTFP sub category | Please ch | noose MTFP sub category | |
| <u>Climate Emergency</u> Please comple the section below with I | how the project meets this priority (leave blank it | f not) | |
| MTFP sub category | Please ch | noose MTFP sub category | |
| | | | |
| Environment Please comple the section below with | how the project meets this priority (leave blank i | f not) | |
| MTFP sub category | Please ch | noose MTFP sub category | |
| Internal Services | | | |
| | how the project meets this priority (leave blank i | f not) | |
| MTFP sub category | Please ch | noose MTFP sub category | |

| Investment and regeneration Please comple the section below with how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--|
| MTFP sub category Please choose MTFP sub category | | |
| Roads & Transport Please comple the section below with how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) | | |
| MTFP sub category | Please choose MTFP sub category | |

Positive Implications

| Justification * | |
|-----------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Risk Information

| ease identify the risks associated with this bid not proceeding * | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Additional Details *

| Project Managed By | |] | Feasibility Completed | |
|---------------------------------|----------|---|-----------------------|---|
| Site Identified | |] | Site Available | |
| Planning Agreed | |] | | |
| Additional Information |] | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Links to other useful documents |] | | | |
| link | Comments | | | _ |
| 12 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |

Operational Property should be consulted prior to submission of the bid so that assistance and oversight can be provided to Commissioning Services in ensuring that capital budgets sought are realistic and inclusive of foreseeable known costs.

| Details | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Directorate * | Place & Growth | | | | Budget Manager Malcolm Pinto | | | | | | | |
| Service Department | 0 | | | | | Lead Member | | Pauline Jor Transport | gensen Highways a | Ind | | |
| Rolling Programme | No | I | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Title | | | Califor | nia Cross | roads | | |] | | | | |
| Budget Requested in <u>£</u> | <u>'000</u> ERROR | | 47 ERROR | '97 | | | | | | | ERROR | |
| Budget Phasing * Capital Scheme | Year 1 2021/22 | Year 2 2022/23 | Year 3 2023/24 | Year 4 2024/25 | Year 5 2025/26 | Year 6 2026/27 | Year 7 2027/28 | Year 8 2028/29 | Year 9 2029/30 | Year 10 2030/31 | Total of scheme | |
| California Crossroads | 4447 | | 350 | | | | | | | | 4797 | |
| Capital Stage * |] | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stage | Year 1 2021/22 | Year 2 2022/23 | Year 3 2023/24 | Year 4 2024/25 | Year 5 2025/26 | Year 6 2026/27 | Year 7 2027/28 | Year 8 2028/29 | Year 9 2029/30 | Year 10 2030/31 | Total of scheme | % of Total Cost |
| Feasibility | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.00% |
| Design | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.00% |
| Contruction Fit out | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.00% |
| Comments | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Funding Identified * No funding identified Are there external funding str | Answe | | | | | | | | | | | |

nding Detail

| Fundi | ing Detail | | | | |
|-------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---|
| Plea | se ensure you comple | ete this section wit | h as much inforn | nation as is possi | ble |
| | Funding source | Amount (£'000) | Funding confirmed | Funding received | Comments - for example - Quote relevant contribution agreement |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Operational Property should be consulted prior to submission of this bid so that assistance and oversight can be provided to Commissioning Services in ensuring that capital budgets sought are realistic and inclusive of foreseeable known costs.

| Directorate * Place & Growth Eudget Manager * Ian Haller Service Department * Lead Member * Pauline Jorgensen Highways and Transport Rolling Programme * No Project Title * SCAPE Road Infrastructure Project Description * SCAPE Road Infrastructure Project Description * SCAPE Road Infrastructure RAG Status (Certainty around financial request and project delivery) Green Green High certainty on figures and project delivery Ander Some certainty on figures and project delivery Red Low certainty on figures and project delivery Select "RAG Status"* Green Comments regarding RAG Status Flease select the MTFP category that this bid will achieve * Please comple the section below with how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) High certainty in the section below with how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) |
|--|
| Service Department * Lead Member * Transport * No Project Trite * No Project Trite * SCAPE Road Infrastructure Project Description * Project Description * RAG Status (Certainty around financial request and project delivery) Green High Certainty on figures and project delivery Ref Low containty on figures and project delivery Ref Low containty on figures and project delivery Ref Low containty on figures and project delivery Select *RAG Status * Green Prease select the MTFP category that this bid will achieve * Adult Social Care |
| Project Title * SCAPE Road Infrastructure Project Description * |
| Project Description * Project Description * RAG Status (Certainty around financial request and project delivery) Green High certainty on figures and project delivery Amber Some certainty on figures and project delivery Red Low certainty on figures and project delivery Select "RAG Status" * Green Comments regarding RAG Status Please select the MTFP category that this bid will achieve * Adult Social Care Adult Social Care |
| RAG Status (Certainty around financial request and project delivery) Green High certainty on figures and project delivery Amber Some certainty on figures and project delivery Red Low certainty on figures and project delivery Select "RAG Status" * Green Comments regarding RAG Status Please select the MTFP category that this bid will achieve * Adult Social Care Adult Social Care |
| Green High certainty on figures and project delivery Amber Some certainty on figures and project delivery Red Low certainty on figures and project delivery Select "RAG Status" * Green Comments regarding RAG Status Please select the MTFP category that this bid will achieve * Adult Social Care Adult Social Care |
| Green High certainty on figures and project delivery Amber Some certainty on figures and project delivery Red Low certainty on figures and project delivery Select "RAG Status" * Green Comments regarding RAG Status Please select the MTFP category that this bid will achieve * Adult Social Care Adult Social Care |
| Green High certainty on figures and project delivery Amber Some certainty on figures and project delivery Red Low certainty on figures and project delivery Select "RAG Status" * Green Comments regarding RAG Status Please select the MTFP category that this bid will achieve * Adult Social Care Adult Social Care |
| Red Low certainty on figures and project delivery Select "RAG Status" * Green Comments regarding RAG Status |
| Select "RAG Status" * Green Comments regarding RAG Status |
| Comments regarding RAG Status Please select the MTFP category that this bid will achieve * Adult Social Care |
| Adult Social Care |
| |
| i lease comple the section below with how the project meets this phonty (leave blank in hot) |
| MTFP sub category Please choose MTFP sub category |
| <u>Children Services and Schools</u> Please comple the section below with how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) |
| MTFP sub category Please choose MTFP sub category |
| <u>Climate Emergency</u> Please comple the section below with how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) |
| MTFP sub category Please choose MTFP sub category |
| Environment Please comple the section below with how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) |
| MTFP sub category Please choose MTFP sub category |
| Internal Services |
| Please comple the section below with how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) MTFP sub category Please choose MTFP sub category |

86

| Investment and regeneration Please comple the section below with how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| MTFP sub category Please choose MTFP sub category | | | | | |
| Roads & Transport Please comple the section below with how the project meets this priority (leave blank if not) | | | | | |
| MTFP sub category | Please choose MTFP sub category | | | | |

Positive Implications

| Justification * | |
|-----------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Risk Information

| ease identify the risks associated with this bid not proceeding * | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Additional Details *

| Project Managed By | | Feasibility Completed |] |
|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|---|
| Site Identified | | Site Available |] |
| Planning Agreed | | | |
| Additional Information | 1 | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Links to other useful documents |] | | |
| link | Comments | | |
| 1 | | | |
| 3 | | | |

Operational Property should be consulted prior to submission of the bid so that assistance and oversight can be provided to Commissioning Services in ensuring that capital budgets sought are realistic and inclusive of foreseeable known costs.

| Details | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Directorate * | | Place & Growth | | | | Budget Manager Ian Haller | | | | | | |
| Service Department | | 0 | | | | Lead Me | mber | Pauline Jorgensen Highways and Transport | | | | |
| Rolling Programme | No |] | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Title | | | SCAPE F | Road Infra | structure | | | | | | | |
| Budget Requested in <u>£'(</u> Budget Phasing * | ERROR | ERROR | ERROR | 3487 | | | | | | | ERROR | |
| Capital Scheme | Year 1 2021/22 | Year 2 2022/23 | Year 3 2023/24 | Year 4 2024/25 | Year 5 2025/26 | Year 6 2026/27 | Year 7 2027/28 | Year 8 2028/29 | Year 9 2029/30 | Year 10 2030/31 | Total of scheme | |
| SCAPE Road Infrastructure | 71287 | 35000 | 2200 | | | | | | | | 108487 | |
| Capital Stage * | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stage | Year 1 2021/22 | Year 2 2022/23 | Year 3 2023/24 | Year 4 2024/25 | Year 5 2025/26 | Year 6 2026/27 | Year 7 2027/28 | Year 8 2028/29 | Year 9 2029/30 | Year 10 2030/31 | Total of scheme | % of Total Cost |
| Feasibility | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.00% |
| Design Contruction | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.00% |
| Fit out | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.00% |
| Comments | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Funding Identified * | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Answer here

No funding identified

Are there external funding streams identified to contribute towards or fully fund this bid? (if yes please add the details to the table below)

Funding Detail Please ensure you complete this section with as much information as is possible Funding source Amount (£'000) Funding confirmed Funding received Comments - for example - Quote relevant contribution agreement Image: Source Amount (£'000) Funding confirmed Funding received Comments - for example - Quote relevant contribution agreement Image: Source <t

| Details | P1841 | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Service Area | Place & Growth | Budget Manager | Aivaras Jasiunas | | | | |
| Service Department | Highways & Transport | Lead Member | Pauline Jorgensen, Roads & Transpo | ort | | | |
| | | Rolling Programme | Yes | | | | |
| Project Title | Ν | okingham Highways Investment S | Strategy (WHIS) | | | | |
| aligned to the Council's and stakehold The highways network was split into th 1. Carriageways & Footways 2. Structures 3. Drainage 4. Street Furniture 5. Traffic Management 6. Street Lighting The Asset Owner for each asset group based on achieving a targeted level of | rategy" known as the WHIS is a "Needs ler's priorities in the most efficient manne he following asset classes each with a de p has developed a 30-year investment pr f improved asset condition (Good, Fair, F he first 10 years have been extracted inte | er possible. The WHIS supports the fined asset owners: rofile based on supporting Wokingl Poor) using government promoted | e Local Transport Plan and Trans ham's corporate and stakeholder | sport Vision to 2036. priorities. This is | | | |
| Vision Priorities Please select the council priorities that this bid will achieve | | | | | | | |
| Work with partners to be one of the Maintain high performance overall | Improve educational attainment and focus on every child achieving their potential 1) Work with partners to be one of the best local authorities in the country for educational attainment 2) Maintain high performance overall 3) Focus on narrowing the gap in the achievement of children from particular groups by delivering targeted support and early intervention | | | | | | |
| Invest in regenerating towns and villages, support social and economic prosperity, whilst encouraging business growth 1) Work with residents, businesses and partners to develop an affordable programme of regeneration in our towns and villages, starting with Wokingham 2) Assist communities, increase trade and provide amenities that people value 3) Focus on supporting business growth | | | | | | | |
| Ensure strong sustainable communities that are vibrant and supported by well designed development 1) Concentrate on planning and delivering services to support appropriate design and development 2) Create thriving communities | | | | | | | |
| Tackle traffic congestion in specific areas 1) Explore different private and public transport options to reduce congestion and improve journeys | | | | | | | |
| | consistent customer service | | technology to access our | Yes | | | |
| Desitive Implications | | | | | | | |

Positive Implications

 Justification

 Investment across the six highway asset groups will deliver a number of benefits including:

 1. Promotes reputation of Wokingham Borough Council as "A Great Place to Live, an even Better Place to Do Business"

 2. Aligned to the Council's corporate and stakeholder priorities

 3. Supports the Local Transport Plan and Transport Vision to 2036

 4. Delivers the most cost effective sustainable maintenance regime for Wokingham's 736km highway network

 5. Delivers the government promoted approach to risk based highways asset management

 6. Delivers visible improvement in network condition

 7. Improves Member, T&P Councils, residents & customers satisfaction.

 Measurement of these benefits will be carried out through the Performance for management Framework in the new Highways contracts, commencing 1st April 2019.

Risk Information

| Please identify the risks associated with this bid not proceeding | |
|---|--|
| | |

As part of the investment profiles the six Asset Owners have considered the implications of not receiving the requested level of capital investment. Each asset group has calculated the impact on asset condition assuming the current level of capital funding continues over the 30-year period. The impacts are summarised as: 1. Further deterioration of asset condition and an increase in the % of assets in a Poor condition and which require treatment at a later stage

2. Increased capital investment (to the current bid) in later years

3. Increase level of safety defects on the network putting highway users at increased risk

4. Increase in revenue budgets to maintain deteriorating network

5. Increased risk of network failure

6. Stakeholder, Member, T&P Council, business, resident (customer) dissatisfaction at highways network and reputational damage to Wokingham Borough Council

Additional Details

| Project Managed By | WBC Service | Feasibility Completed | Yes |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----|
| Site Identified | Yes | Site Available | Yes |
| Planning Agreed | No | | |

Additional Information

Wokingham's highway network is by far the Council's most valuable asset at 736km and £1.13B. It is used by most residents on a daily basis and essential for business and economic prosperity. Sustainable investment of the highway is required to meet the Council's corporate objectives, and its stakeholder's requirements including:

Promotes reputation of Wokingham Borough Council as "A Great Place to Live, an even Better Place to Do Business"

The Council's Transport Vision to 2036 is "to deliver and maintain a safe, reliable and joined-up transport system that connects new and existing communities, businesses, commercial centres while providing leisure opportunities. The vision will future-proof the transport network for new and emerging technology; reduce social exclusion; improve network resilience; accommodate climate change; reduce congestion and improve productivity"

The WHIS has been developed using best practice asset management principles promoted by government and aligned to the Wokingham Highway Asset Management Framework. Investment will reduce reactive works, promote planned, co-ordinated and well communicated works and improve customer satisfaction including Members, T&P Councils and residents. Investment will also deliver efficiency and value for money within the new highway contracts and provide project certainty from 1st April 2019.

Links to other useful documents

| | link | Comments |
|---|------|--|
| 1 | TBC | Wokingham Adopted Highway Asset Management Policy & Strategy |
| 2 | TBC | Wokingham Highway Investment Strategy (WHIS) |
| 3 | TBC | WHIS Planned Investment Model- Combined |

Budget Requested

£0 Current Capital Allocation £8,687,520 NET Capital Request

| Budget Phasing | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|------------|------------|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------|
| WHIS CAPITAL | | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 8 | Year 9 | Year 10 | Total of |
| WHIS CAFITAL | | 2021/22 | 2022/23 | 2023/24 | 2024/25 | 2025/26 | 2026/27 | 2027/28 | 2028/29 | scheme |
| Capital Bid | | | | | | | | | | £0 |
| Allocated Capital to be removed | | | | | | | | | | £0 |
| Capitial bid (NET) | | £2,968,183 | £2,300,000 | £3,419,337 | | | | | | £8,687,520 |

Stage Year 1 2019/20 Year 2 2020/21 Year 3 2021/22 Year 4 2022/23 Year 5 2023/24 RIBA stage 0 Image: Constraint of the stage 1 Image: Constage 1 Image:

£0

| | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | 2022/23 | 2023/24 | 2024/25 | 2025/26 | 2026/27 | 2027/28 | 2028/29 | scheme |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| RIBA stage 0 | | | | | | | | | | | £0 |
| RIBA stage 1 | | | | | | | | | | | £0 |
| RIBA stage 2 | | | | | | | | | | | £0 |
| RIBA stage 3 | | | | | | | | | | | £0 |
| RIBA stage 4 | | | | | | | | | | | £0 |
| RIBA stage 5 | | | | | | | | | | | £0 |
| | _ | | | | | | | | | - | |

Year 6

Year 7

Year 8

Year 9

Total of

Year 10

Comments

Detailed highways investment modelling has been undertaken with the six Asset Owners, and the most cost effective investment in Wokingham's highways network, aligned to Corporate Priorities and stakeholder requirements has been combined in the table above which requires the net allocation of £73M additional capital investment over 10 years.

Revenue Implications

| Costs | No | one | l | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Capital Scheme | Year 1 2019/20 | Year 2 2020/21 | Year 3 2021/22 | Year 4 2022/23 | Year 5 2023/24 | Year 6 2024/25 | Year 7 2025/26 | Year 8 2026/27 | Year 9 2027/28 | Year 10 2028/29 | Total of scheme |
| No implications | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Details of Cost | T | | | | | | | | | | |

The existing 2017/18 Highways & Transport Revenue budgets can be reduced by the proposed savings identified below subject to the additional capital investment. Without additional capital investment revenue budgets will be under pressure in future years to meet service requirements.

| avings | £11,0 | 95,141 | Revenue Saving | s | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| WHIS REVENUE | Year 1 2019/20 | Year 2 2020/21 | Year 3 2021/22 | Year 4 2022/23 | Year 5 2023/24 | Year 6 2024/25 | Year 7 2025/26 | Year 8 2026/27 | Year 9 2027/28 | Year 10 2028/29 | Total of scheme |
| Existing associated revenue costs | £3,951,160 | £4,069,204 | £4,190,778 | £4,315,987 | £4,444,939 | £4,577,746 | £4,714,523 | £4,855,390 | £5,000,469 | £5,149,886 | £45,270,082 |
| Proposed revenue costs | £3,124,311 | £3,151,451 | £3,229,941 | £3,284,364 | £3,366,088 | £3,440,154 | £3,516,199 | £3,600,229 | £3,686,655 | £3,775,551 | £34,174,942 |
| Revenue savings | £826,849 | £917,754 | £960,837 | £1,031,623 | £1,078,851 | £1,137,592 | £1,198,324 | £1,255,162 | £1,313,814 | £1,374,335 | £11,095,141 |

The 2017/18 Highways & Transport Revenue budgets can be reduced by the proposed savings identified subject to the additional capital investment. This has been agreed with the six Asset Owners as part of the detailed WHIS investment modelling. Without additional capital investment highways revenue budgets will be under pressure in future years.

Funding Identified

Are there external funding streams identified to contribute towards or fully fund this bid? (if yes please add the details to the table below)

Funding Detail

| Funding source | Amount (£'000) | Funding confirmed | Funding received | Comments |
|--------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|----------|
| Non identified but see comment | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

This page is intentionally left blank